Urban Mobility Observatories

Session 1: Building a robust urban mobility observatory from scratch

13th of June 2023

Laura Cornelis – Consultant, DVDH



Some general notes on this session

Make sure you are muted and your camera is turned off



This session will be recorded. You will not appear in the recording if your camera is kept off



15

Include your questions in the chat, we will pose them in the Q&A at the end of the session



Don't hesitate to share your ideas, comments and questions in the chat!



Learning objectives

Series

Ity

IQO

Σ

b u

Masteri

Mobil

•Understand the importance of collecting key data regarding urban mobility

•Understand the articulation between key data and the development of indicators for urban mobility

•Appreciate methods of defining urban mobility indicators measures.





3

Contents

Welcome & Housekeeping

Why set up a Mobility Observatory?

4 Q&A

5

6

How to calculate the key indicators?

General organisation of the tool

Q&A, Feedback and Farewell





Speakers





Shivanand Swami

Centre of Excellence in Urban Transport University



Etienne Lhomet Des Villes & Des Hommes



Louis-Guillaume François

Agence Française de Développement

Nicolas Cruz Gonzalez Sustainable Mobility Expert

MobiliseYourCity Secretariat



General organisation of the tool

- $\circ~$ Purpose of the observatory
- Mobility indicators
- o SUMP trajectory
- o SUMP management
- Digital participation

How to calculate the key indicators?

- \circ \square Data to collect
- $\circ~$ $\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}$ Reference values: are we on the right path?





O

General organisation of the tool



Purpose of the observatory



Purpose of the observatory

The purpose of an observatory of mobility is to answer 4 questions

- 1. Where do we stand regarding mobility?
- 2. Where do we go? Are we on the right path?
- 3. How far are we advanced?
- 4. What do people think of transport conditions and what are their expectations?

We have developed a **simple and visual online tool** which replies to these 4 questions for the cities of Kochi, Ahmedabad and Nagpur in India

The database can be **easily updated each year** with a limited effort of surveys and data collection

The set-up of a Mobility observatory



Strategic Analysis Spreadsheet

	В	c	D	E	F	G	н	1	J.	K	L	M
1		Source: CMP										
2		Source: other study										
3		Consultant Assumptions										
4		Consultant Estimations										
5		No. of working days in a year	320									I
6		No. of working days in a month	25									Kochi Urban Mobility Observatory
7												Strategic Analysis Spreadsheet
8 Id	id sas	Section	1991	2001	2011	2015	2022	2025	2030	2035	2040	2050 Source / Method of calculation
9		A.1 DEMOGRAPHY AND GDP										
10		Demography										
12 Population	(a)	Population of urban area (CMP/SUMP perimeter)	1 670 000	1 838 000	2 001 072	2 028 893	2 078 513	2 100 149	2 136 710	2 173 908	2 211 753	2 289 431 Population data census (1991, 2001, 2011), e
13	(b)	Population of urban area (annual growth rate)		0,96%	0,85%	0,35%	0,35%	0,35%	0,35%	0,35%	0,35%	0,35% Calculated from (a) for 2001, 2011. Coming fi
14	•	Average household size (Kerala)	6,67	6,38	5,33	4,81						Source: https://globaldatalab.org/areadata/
15	(c)	Average household size	4,71	4,51	3,77	3,40	2,95	2,78	2,51	2,39	2,27	2,27 Coming from official Kerala data for 1991, 20
16	(d)	Average household size (annual growth rate)		-0,4%	-1,8%	-2,5%	-2,0%	-2,0%	-2,0%	-1,0%	-1,0%	0,0% Calculated from (c) for previous years. Assun
17		Surface										
18	*	Urban growth ratio (base 100 in 2022)					100					Analysis of stallite images (Source: Google Ea
19 Builtup_area	(e)	Built up area (sq.km)	302,5	378,2	453,8	479,0	504,2	515,4	531,1	547,2	561,0	589,7 Coming from analysis of satellite images for
20	(f)	Built up area (annual growth rate)		2,3%	1,8%	1,4%	0,7%	0,7%	0,6%	0,6%	0,5%	0,5% Calculated from (e) for previous years. Assun
21 Indicator_1	Indicator #1	Net population density for built up areas (pop/sq.km)	5 520	4 860	4 410	4 2 3 6	4 122	4 075	4 023	3 973	3 942	3 882 = (a)/(e)
22		Gross Domestic Product										
23 GDP_per_capita	(g)	GDP per inhabitant PPP (\$ 2011)	2 448	3 623	6 000	7 719	9 493	10 373	12 026	13 277	14 659	16 193 Coming from official statistics for Kerala up
24	(h)	GDP per inhabitant PPP (annual growth rate)		4,0%	5,2%	6,5%	3,0%	3,0%	3,0%	2,0%	2,0%	1,0% Calculated from (g) up to 2011. Coming from
25		A.2 MOBILITY DEMAND										
												Coming from the RITES study for 2001, other s
26		Number of trips per mode	_									transport 2022 come from SYSTRA study.
27 Trips_Public-Trans	port	Public Transportation	976 518	1 107 970	1 040 000	885 032	976 938	1 238 601	1 441 689	1 516 334	1 554 981	1 683 979
28 Trips_Minibus		Minibus				_						
29 Trips_Bus		Bus	837 951	969 403	901 433	746 465	900 000	1 090 663	1 183 751	1 108 396	1 147 043	1 276 041
30 Trips_BRT		BRT										
31 Trips_Tramway		Light Rail/Tramway				_						
32 Trips_Metro		Metro	0	0	0	0	52 000	80 000	150 000	250 000	250 000	250 000
33 Trips_Train		Train	7 938	7 938	7 938	7 938	7 938	7 938	7 938	7 938	7 938	7 938
34 Trips_Ferry		Ferry/Water metro	130 629	130 629	130 629	130 629	17 000	60 000	100 000	150 000	150 000	150 000
35 Trips_Private-mode	es	Private modes	96 276	198 178	560 054	906 104	1 073 649	934 383	810 950	746 851	765 886	829 422
36 Trips_2W		Two-wheeler	27 508	80 221	280 000	547 877	663 531	564 976	450 528	407 373	417 756	452 412
37 Trips_3W		Three-wheeler	41 261	63 742	100 054	147 505	164 047	152 109	146 422	135 791	139 252	150 804
38 Trips_4W		Car	27 508	54 215	180 000	210 722	246 070	217 298	214 001	203 687	208 878	226 206
39 Trips_Bicycle		Bicycle	27 508	33 674	40 021	63 217	72 374	76 694	140 790	150 879	331 553	386 677
> Indicat	or Index	Component A - SAS Additional local data Component	nt B - SUMP path	nway Com	nponent C -SU	IMP managem	+ :	-				

Sub-section

Indicator

Source: CMP

Source: other official study

- **Consultant Assumptions**
- Consultant Estimations



GIS Database







The Urban Mobility Observatories present a complete analysis of interesting mobility data coming from indian cities. **Ahmedabad**, **Kochi** and **Nagpur** are the three first cities to benefit from this tool.

A set of mobility indicators has been defined to measure the evolution of the urban mobility characteristics and to follow-up the implementation of the Comprehensive Mobility Plan (CMP) or Sustainable Urban Mobility Plan (SUMP) in each city. This Measure, Report, Verify (MRV) tool focuses on the reduction of transport-related GHG emissions, as per MobiliseYourCity objectives (<u>more here</u>).

The Urban Mobility Observatories comprise the following sections:

- MOBILITY INDICATORS, with a set of 20 indicators to understand the past trends
 SUMP TRAJECTORY, to look at the future trends in terms of mobility and verify that the objectives are met for 2050
- SUMP MANAGEMENT, to follow-up the implementation of the SUMP or CMP action plan
- DIGITAL PARTICIPATION, to collect your feedback and perception on the current mobility conditions

Thank you for visiting.

Search for a city (e.g. Kochi)









The set-up of a Mobility observatory

We propose to organize the online tool according to 4 components bringing some responses to the 4 main questions



1/ Where do we stand regarding mobility?



1/ Where do we stand regarding mobility?

Urban Mobility Observatory 20 key indicators

6 sub-sections

- Demography
- Mobility demand
- Transport supply
- Motorisation
- Externalities
- Economics
- 20 indicators



				20 key indicators
Indi	cator	Usual range	Unit	Methodology for annual update
A.1 [DEMOGRAPHY			
1	Net population density for built up areas	2,000 - 10,000	inhabitants / sq.km	Analysis of satellite photos
A.2 M	/OBILITY DEMAND			
2	Average number of trips per inhabitant	1.00 - 4.00	trips / day / inhabitant	Annual calcuation using Household surveys results (no annual update) and demographic evolution.
3	Immobility rate	10 - 50%	% per day of population staying at home	Annual calcuation using Household surveys results (no annual update) and demographic evolution.
4	Average trip length for mechanised modes	5.00 - 10.00	km / mechanised trip	Annual calcuation using Household surveys results (no annual update) and demographic evolution.
5	Modal share for Public Transportation	10 - 60%	% of all trips	Annual calcuation using Household surveys results (no annual update) and demographic evolution.
A.3 1	RANSPORT SUPPLY			
6	Road network extension per lakh population	50 - 250	km / lakh inhabitants	Annual statistics coming from local government
7	Mass transit system extension per lakh population	0 - 10	km / lakh inhabitants	Annual statistics coming from local government, or operator
8	Footpaths extension per lakh population	0 - 50	km / lakh inhabitants	Annual statistics coming from local government
9	Bicycle lanes extension per lakh population	0 - 50	km / lakh inhabitants	Annual statistics coming from local government
10	Number of buses per lakh population	50 - 150	buses / lakh inhabitants	Annual statistics coming from local government, or operator
11	Bus seat kilometers per lakh population	0 - 1	seat km / lakh inhabitants	Annual statistics coming from local government, or operator
12	Number of active IPT vehicles per lakh population	0 - 1,000	IPT vehicles / lakh inhabitants	Annual statistics coming from local government
A.4 M	NOTORIZATION			
13	Number of cars per 1,000 population	20 - 750	cars / 1,000 inhabitants	Annual statistics coming from local government
14	Number of motorcycles per 1,000 population	50 - 600	motorcycles / 1,000 inhabitants	Annual statistics coming from local government
A.5 E	XTERNALITIES			
15	Traffic fatalities per lakh population	2 - 30	fatalities / lakh inhabitants	Annual statistics coming from local government
16	Air Quality (PM10)	5 - 100	μg / m3	Annual average coming from local measurement stations
17	GHG emissions for transport sector	100 - 1,000	kgCO2 / year / inhabitant	Annual calculation using MYC tool
A.6 E	CONOMICS			
18	Household budget dedicated to transportation in low- income families	5 - 25%	% of household budget	Annual calculation using statistics on GDP evolution and average monthly expenditures per household per month
19	Average annual budget for investment in Public Transport in the last 10 years	0 - 20,000	INR / inhabitant / year	Annual statistics coming from local government, or operator
20	Annual budget for operations and administration of urban mobility	0 - 10,000	INR / inhabitant / year	Annual statistics coming from local government, or operator



Population and mobility





Annual number of trips per mode







Modal share evolution between private modes and public modes





Motorization level









Home > States > Kerala

Mercury rising, heat wave-like conditions likely in northern Kerala districts

Incidents of wildfire rise in Kerala | People urged to be cautious against sunburn



Published: 08th March 2022 05:59 AM | Last Updated: 08th March 2022 05:59 AM

🔒 | A+ A A-



A view of the mirage on the road in the scorching summer at Vellayil in Kozhikode | T P Sooraj

3 - How far are we advanced?



4 - What do people think of transport conditions and what are their expectations?



4 - What do people think of transport conditions and what are their expectations?

Bus		1 Strongly disagree	2	3	4	5	6 Strongly agree
Using the bus in is pleasant	I can move around quickly and easily The ticket price is acceptable The vehicle is confortable and adapted to all type of users (disabled It is easy to access a bus station, even for disabled, pregnant or elde	l, pregnant, eld ery persons	lery)				
It is safe to take the bus in	It is safe to wait at a station, whether by day or night It is safe inside the bus, whether by day or night The driver/collector can be helpful in case of harassment It is safe for women						
The efforts made by the municipality to develop public transport are significant	All parts of the agglomeration are accessible by bus Bus lanes exist to favour public transport in the heavy traffic Low-emission vehicles progressively replace the old fleet Fare integration system with other public transport modes exist or i	s under impler	nentation				
		1	2	3	4	5	6
Walk		Strongly disagree					Strongly agree
Walk Walking in is pleasant	Walkways are large and confortable along main roads Walkways are large and confortable in residential areas When walking, I find that motorised traffic (volume and speed) is r	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree
Walk Walking in is pleasant It is safe to walk in	Walkways are large and confortable along main roads Walkways are large and confortable in residential areas When walking, I find that motorised traffic (volume and speed) is r I can walk safely along main roads I can walk safely along residential streets It is not dangerous to cross a crossroads or roundabout The street are safe for children and elderly people	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree

Q&A

How to calculate the key indicators?

4



Data to collect

Each year, the following actions could be conducted to update the databases:

Collect fresh data **coming from official reports** for:

- Public transports ridership from the operators
- Registered vehicles records from the RTO
- GDP from national economic statistics
- Additional infrastructures from metropolitan information and annual reports
- Costs and revenues of public transport systems annual reports from operators
- Traffic fatalities from Traffic police records
- Air quality measures from local stations



Data to collect

Each year, the following actions could be conducted to update the databases:

Conduct limited surveys:

- Make some road counts on identified points of CVC to have fresh information on the evolution of vehicular traffic and passenger flows (CVC surveys and Occupancy surveys).
- Conduct limited Household surveys to update data on the household composition, modal share, and evolution of passenger flows per mode.
- Make an evaluation of urban sprawl and demographic growth through photo satellites or Google Earth analysis.
- Launch an **annual satisfaction survey** by promoting the « DIGITAL PARTICIPATION » section of the online observatory.
- □ Collect data from the local Transport Authority to **follow up the implementation progress of the SUMP** action plan.

Every 10 years, once known the results of the decennial population census, complete Household surveys should be carried out.



a

Reference values: are we on the right path?

Urban Mobility Observatory 20 key indicators

Usual range Unit Methodology for annual update Indicator A.1 DEMOGRAPHY Net population density for built up areas 2,000 - 10,000 inhabitants / sg.km Analysis of satellite photos A.2 MOBILITY DEMAND Annual calcuation using Household surveys results (no annual Average number of trips per inhabitant 1.00 - 4.00 trips / day / inhabitant update) and demographic evolution. Annual calcuation using Household surveys results (no annual % per day of population staying Immobility rate 10 - 50% update) and demographic evolution. at home Annual calcuation using Household surveys results (no annual Average trip length for mechanised modes 5.00 - 10.00 km / mechanised trip update) and demographic evolution. Annual calcuation using Household surveys results (no annual Modal share for Public Transportation 10 - 60% % of all trips update) and demographic evolution. A.3 TRANSPORT SUPPLY Road network extension per lakh population km / lakh inhabitants 6 50 - 250 Annual statistics coming from local government Mass transit system extension per lakh population 0 - 10 km / lakh inhabitants Annual statistics coming from local government, or operator Footpaths extension per lakh population km / lakh inhabitants Annual statistics coming from local government 8 0 - 50 Annual statistics coming from local government 9 Bicycle lanes extension per lakh population 0 - 50 km / lakh inhabitants Number of buses per lakh population 50 - 150 buses / lakh inhabitants Annual statistics coming from local government, or operator 10 Bus seat kilometers per lakh population 0 - 1 seat km / lakh inhabitants Annual statistics coming from local government, or operator 11 12 Number of active IPT vehicles per lakh population 0 - 1,000 IPT vehicles / lakh inhabitants Annual statistics coming from local government A.4 MOTORIZATION Number of cars per 1,000 population cars / 1,000 inhabitants Annual statistics coming from local government 13 20 - 750 motorcycles / 1,000 inhabitants Annual statistics coming from local government Number of motorcycles per 1,000 population 50 - 600 14 A.5 EXTERNALITIES fatalities / lakh inhabitants Annual statistics coming from local government 15 Traffic fatalities per lakh population 2 - 30 16 Air Quality (PM10) 5 - 100 μg / m3 Annual average coming from local measurement stations 17 GHG emissions for transport sector 100 - 1,000 kgCO2 / year / inhabitant Annual calculation using MYC tool A.6 ECONOMICS Household budget dedicated to transportation in low-Annual calculation using statistics on GDP evolution and % of household budget 5 - 25% 18 income families average monthly expenditures per household per month Average annual budget for investment in Public Transport Annual statistics coming from local government, or operator 19 0 - 20,000 INR / inhabitant / year in the last 10 years Annual budget for operations and administration of urban INR / inhabitant / year Annual statistics coming from local government, or operator 20 0 - 10,000 mobility

Reference values: are we on the right path?

Important to check the consistency of the data collected

Make some cross-check verification between data:

- Example: population data coming from photo satellite analysis -> is the annual growth rate completely different from the year x-1?
- Example: ridership coming from public transport operator reports -> how much persons per bus per day? Is it plausible?

When updating the database, do not forget **to check the trajectory and adjus**t the assumptions for the future years.





Each year an update of the Mobility Database and a new Mobility Report

The authority in charge shall elaborate each year at the same period an Annual Mobility Report for the previous year with:

comments of the evolution of main indicators,

follow up of the initially proposed pathway towards sustainable mobility,

□ follow up of the implementation of SUMP/CMP measures,

evolution of the barometer of satisfaction.



- Automated photo interpretation of satellite images
- Automated analysis of traffic videos
- Spatial analysis of air quality
- Online household surveys
- Additional data

Automated photo interpretation of satellite images



Automated analysis of traffic videos





Evolutions des concentrations en PM1, PM2.5 et PM10 en moyenne journalière, pour tous les capteurs fixes (en µg/m3)

Online household surveys



SÉRIE PESQUISA ORIGEM DESTINO EPISÓDIO 09 COD RECIFE É DIFERENTE

OPINION: Online and non-face-to-face Origin-Destination Research, Recife has

Published: March 4, 2021

Conducting an Origin-Destination survey integrated into the city's routine, in an online and nonface-to-face format, was the challenge that the city of Recife set out to overcome in 2015, in an innovative experience that changed the landscape of data availability for planning. mobility in the fourth largest capital in the country.

To overcome the challenges posed by the cost and difficulty of carrying out an origin-destination survey using the traditional method, a new methodology was developed by technicians from the municipality's urban planning body, Instituto da Cidade Pelópidas Silveira, and in 2016 the fourth Recife OD Survey, being the first edition using the new methodology.

In that edition, as a test, more than 86 thousand people were surveyed in the municipality. The successful application of the methodology led the State of Pernambuco to support the initiative and the survey was expanded to the 15 cities in the Metropolitan Region of Recife in the second application of the methodology in 2018, when more than 200,000 people were surveyed.

Additional data

In future developments of the Mobility Observatory, it could be interesting to:

- Add new data on the **GIS database**:
- Desire lines, coming from Household or OD surveys
- Air quality data per zone
- Motorisation level per zone
- Traffic fatalities per zone
- Add new data to the **SAS database**: one idea is to differentiate the observed data and the projected data coming from SUMP (and 2022 projections). It will allow to offer a comparison between what was projected in 2022 and the data actually observed after 2022.



Thank you

Laura Cornelis – Consultant, DVDH laura.cornelis@dvdh.fr



Questions, Feedback and Farewell?

Q&A

Chat

→ Post your questions in the chat and we will include them in the Q&A



Speak

→ Select "Show reactions" in the meeting controls, and then choose "Raise your hand". Everyone in the meeting will see that you've got your hand up.





Stay tuned with MobiliseYourCity updates 🗸

Eager for more? subscribe to the MobiliseYourCity newsletter to stay updated with the latest information on our upcoming Mastering Mobility Sessions!

Register here or scan the QR Code

Missed previous past sessions? The recordings are available on their Knowledge Platform!
 Visit Knowledge Platform here or scan the QR Code









✓ Learn

Exchange

✓ Connect

Thank you for your attention

Mobilise Your City

يتتتي

Keep in touch



Subscribe to our newsletter here







contact@mobiliseyourcity.net









สม

MobiliseYourCity